## \$i-Ready End of Year

Data and Implementation Review

DOUGLAS CO SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Who is Included in the Analysis?



Fall Performance
Spring Performance

Growth
$:=$
Personalized Instruction

31,549 students
33,367 students
32,645 students

20,608 students

## Understanding i-Ready's Relative Placement Levels

i-Ready's placement levels are criterion-referenced, reflecting what students are expected to know at each grade level and in each content area. In the following analyses, student performance is described using the following five relative placement levels:

| Mid or Above Grade Level | Students at this level have met or surpassed the minimum requirements for the <br> expectations of college- and career-ready standards in their grade level. Students will <br> benefit from instruction in late on-grade level topics, or above-grade level instruction. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Early On Grade Level | Students at this level have only partially met grade-level expectations. They will benefit <br> from continued grade-level instruction. |
| $\mathbf{1 \text { Grade Level Below }}$ | Students placing one level below are approaching grade level expectations and can be <br> ready for grade-level instruction with targeted support. |
| 2 Grade Levels Below <br> 3+ Grade Levels Below | Students placing two or more grades below level will likely need additional support with <br> key skills below their chronological grade level to be ready for grade-level instruction. |

## How Have Relative Placements Changed From Fall to Spring?

Placement Distribution, Fall 21-22 to Spring 21-22


- Mid or Above Grade Level
- Early On Grade Level
- 1 Grade Level Below
- 2 Grade Levels Below
- 3+ Grade Levels Below
i-Ready's placements are an indication of what students are expected to know at each grade level. The mid or above grade level placement refers to students who may be considered proficient for their grade.

This is a longitudinal analysis

## How Have Relative Placements Changed From Spring to Spring?

Placement Distribution, Spring 18-19 to Spring 21-22


## How Have Relative Placements Changed From Spring to Spring?

Placement Distribution, Spring 18-19 to Spring 21-22


- Mid or Above Grade Level
- Early On Grade Level
- 1 Grade Level Below
- 2 Grade Levels Below
- 3+ Grade Levels Below

This is a cross-sectional analysis.

## How Have Relative Placements Changed From Spring to Spring?

Placement Distribution, Spring 18-19 to Spring 21-22


## How Did Students Across the District Grow From Fall to Spring?

Comparison of Median Student Performance and Median Percent of Typical Growth


## How Much Did Growth Vary Across Fall Placement Levels?

Median Percentage of Typical Growth Achieved by Fall Placement Level

|  |  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | All Students Assessed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid or Above Grade Level | Median \%Typical Growth | 129\% | 86\% | 117\% | 129\% | 121\% | 143\% | 154\% | 100\% | 122\% | 123\% |
|  | Students Assessed | 527 | 458 | 363 | 357 | 500 | 714 | 635 | 528 | 592 | 4,674 |
| Early On Grade Level | Median \%Typical Growth | 113\% | 104\% | 91\% | 92\% | 104\% | 111\% | 131\% | 125\% | 144\% | 109\% |
|  | Students Assessed | 521 | 337 | 625 | 635 | 790 | 901 | 968 | 875 | 706 | 6,358 |
| One Grade Level Below | Median \%Typical Growth | 113\% | 110\% | 108\% | 100\% | 109\% | 117\% | 143\% | 92\% | 122\% | 110\% |
|  | Students Assessed | 2,287 | 2,413 | 2,063 | 1,924 | 1,762 | 1,478 | 1,215 | 1,160 | 1,114 | 15,416 |
| Two Grade Levels Below | Median \%Typical Growth |  | 125\% | 121\% | 122\% | 122\% | 100\% | 143\% | 92\% | 90\% | 119\% |
|  | Students Assessed |  | 230 | 514 | 486 | 410 | 352 | 417 | 291 | 287 | 2,987 |
| Three or More Grade Levels Below | Median \%Typical Growth |  |  |  | 97\% | 113\% | 115\% | 133\% | 92\% | 108\% | 108\% |
|  | Students Assessed |  |  |  | 131 | 246 | 287 | 385 | 444 | 619 | 2,112 |
|  |  |  |  | - > $=100 \%$ |  | 80-99\% |  | -60-79\% |  | 0-59\% |  |

## How Does Domain-Level Performance Compare to National?

Percent of Students Placing Mid or Above Grade Level, and Historical National Norms, Spring 21-22


## Who is Included in the Analysis?



Fall Performance
Spring Performance

Growth
$:=$
Personalized Instruction

33,754 students
33,859 students
34,783 students

20,812 students

## How Have Relative Placements Changed From Fall to Spring?

Placement Distribution, Fall 21-22 to Spring 21-22


- Mid or Above Grade Level
- Early On Grade Level
- 1 Grade Level Below
- 2 Grade Levels Below
- 3+ Grade Levels Below
i-Ready's placements are an indication of what students are expected to know at each grade level. The mid or above grade level placement refers to students who may be considered proficient for their grade.


## How Have Relative Placements Changed From Spring to Spring?

Placement Distribution, Spring 18-19 to Spring 21-22


- Mid or Above Grade Level
- Early On Grade Level

1 Grade Level Below
. 2 Grade Levels Below

- 3+ Grade Levels Below
i-Ready's placements are an indication of what students are expected to know at each grade level. The mid or above grade level placement refers to students who may be considered proficient for their grade.


## How Have Relative Placements Changed From Spring to Spring?

Placement Distribution, Spring 18-19 to Spring 21-22


- Mid or Above Grade Level
- Early On Grade Level
- 1 Grade Level Below
- 2 Grade Levels Below
- 3+ Grade Levels Below
This is a cross-sectional analysis.


## How Have Relative Placements Changed From Spring to Spring?

Placement Distribution, Spring 18-19 to Spring 21-22


## How Did Students Across the District Grow From Fall to Spring?

Comparison of Median Student Performance and Median Percent of Typical Growth


## How Much Did Growth Vary Across Fall Placement Levels?

Median Percentage of Typical Growth Achieved by Fall Placement Level

|  |  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | All Students Assessed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid or Above Grade Level | Median \%Typical Growth | 105\% | 122\% | 136\% | 141\% | 133\% | 143\% | 200\% | 150\% | 163\% | 135\% |
|  | Students Assessed | 497 | 553 | 910 | 1,130 | 1,099 | 862 | 1,293 | 1,269 | 1,328 | 8,941 |
| Early On Grade Level | Median \%Typical Growth | 107\% | 132\% | 138\% | 150\% | 135\% | 135\% | 178\% | 167\% | 175\% | 134\% |
|  | Students Assessed | 963 | 397 | 576 | 1,131 | 731 | 970 | 669 | 930 | 959 | 7,326 |
| One Grade Level Below | Median \% Typical Growth | 133\% | 112\% | 138\% | 162\% | 155\% | 150\% | 175\% | 170\% | 144\% | 135\% |
|  | Students Assessed | 2,016 | 2,466 | 1,710 | 689 | 1,396 | 1,147 | 968 | 779 | 808 | 11,979 |
| Two Grade Levels Below | Median \% Typical Growth |  | 128\% | 123\% | 155\% | 185\% | 165\% | 207\% | 158\% | 150\% | 155\% |
|  | Students Assessed |  | 107 | 469 | 518 | 242 | 578 | 441 | 325 | 223 | 2,903 |
| Three or More Grade Levels Below | Median \%Typical Growth |  |  |  | 106\% | 157\% | 137\% | 168\% | 153\% | 144\% | 147\% |
|  | Students Assessed |  |  |  | 157 | 281 | 272 | 484 | 646 | 764 | 2,604 |
|  |  |  |  | - >=100\% |  | 80-99\% |  | 60-79\% |  | 0-59\% |  |

## How Does Domain-Level Performance Compare to National?

Percent of Students Placing Mid or Above Grade Level, and Historical National Norms, Spring 21-22


