

# The information in this presentation contains the most recent information available on May 25, 2021. 

## Agenda

- Grounding the Work
- Historical Context
- Revenue Updates
- Compensation Considerations
- Recommended Distribution Methodology
- Questions and Discussion


## Grounding the Work

- Board End Statement: Outstanding Educators and Staff
- II-A: Quality educators and staff have been recruited, developed, supported, retained and celebrated
- II-E: Educators and staff are valued and given multiple opportunities for their voices to be heard
- Employee Compensation and Values Resolution 12-13-2018
- Resolution Regarding Transitioning to a New Employee Compensation System 3-10-2020*


## Grounding the Work

## Strategic Plan Theme 3: Positive and Supportive Culture

Initiative 1a: Define and develop agreed upon core values, behaviors, and collective commitments.


Strategic Plan Theme 6: Recruitment, Retention and Development of High Quality Employees

Initiative 1a: Develop and recommend a predictable compensation schedule for all employee groups that acknowledges experience/longevity, knowledge, and performance. In addition, it should be easily comparable to neighboring school districts, and progressively move toward a regionally competitive pay for all employees.

## Historical Context

Compensation practices in DCSD have shifted significantly over time based on a variety of factors including available funding. No one could have predicted the COVID-19 pandemic, impact to revenue, availability of relief funding, or the economic rebound.


- Market based pay
- Move to Salary Bands
- Move to Salary Ranges
- Salary setting based on variety of factors
- Pay for Performance
- Ceased Pay for Performance
- BOE Compensation

Resolution

- Bond and MLO passed (2018)
- Pay freeze adjustments
- Tiered increases
- Strategic Plan
development
- Stakeholder engagement
- Collection/analysis of employee data
- Development and costing of sample licensed structures
- Worldwide pandemic
- Significant impact to state and local budgets
- PERA contribution increases to employer and employees
- Pay cuts, position cuts, and furlough days


## Revenue Updates

- When the 2021-22 Proposed Budget was presented to the Board of Education on May 11th, the annual School Finance Act had not yet been introduced
- Initial budget proposal contemplated 75\% of the Governor's request
- Legislation has since been introduced resulting in an additional \$13.3M above the Proposed Budget for all of DCSD with $\$ 10.1 \mathrm{M}$ for district-managed schools and programs after passing through \$3.2M to charter schools
- Staff is recommending an increase to compensation pool for pay increases from $\$ 8.5 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 12.0 \mathrm{M}$ ( $\sim 3.2 \%$ equivalent for all FTE funded by General Fund)


## Compensation Considerations

- One-time payment in SY2020-21 (June payroll) to offset furlough days taken in the current school year
- No furlough days for staff and SY2021-22 calendars will be fully restored
- \$4.0M cost to General Fund
- No employee medical premium increases and no premium increases paid by schools or departments (all projected medical expense increases absorbed within the Medical Fund)
- \$0.0M cost to General Fund
- Compensation pool for pay increases equivalent to $\sim 3.2 \%$ for all FTE funded by General Fund (\$12M)


## Proposed Compensation Pool Distribution Methodology

## Proposed Distribution Methodology

- Pay ranges in DCSD have, in some cases, not been adjusted for cost of living (COLA) in as many as 6 years. The proposed compensation adjustments for SY2021-22 focus first on updating pay ranges (min-mid-max) for all positions based on annual cost of living, an important first step in developing a more comprehensive compensation strategy.
- While this is not a comprehensive market analysis, these adjustments do bring our baseline compensation profiles closer to comparable and current ranges.
- The table below represents the applicable adjustments to minimum, midpoint, and maximum for each compensation range.

| \# of Years Since Last <br> Update | 1 <br> years | 2 <br> years | 3 <br> years | 4 <br> years | 5 <br> years | 6 <br> years |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% Increase | $2.00 \%$ | $4.06 \%$ | $6.15 \%$ | $8.27 \%$ | $10.39 \%$ | $12.62 \%$ |

## Proposed Distribution Methodology

The type of pay will vary based on placement on the adjusted compensation ranges that occur below minimum, within the range or above the maximum.

| Range <br> Placement | Pay Type | \% Adjustment | Total Cost | \% of Total <br> Population |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Below Minimum | Base Pay <br> Increase | $3.00 \%-12.62 \%$ | $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$ | $7 \%$ |
| In Range | Base Pay <br> Increase | $3.00 \%$ | $\$ 9.3 \mathrm{M}$ | $85 \%$ |
| Above <br> Maximum | One-Time <br> Payment * | $3.00 \%$ | $\$ 1.4 \mathrm{M}$ | $8 \%$ |

* One-Time payments for those above max are PERA includable as part of HAS calculations


## Distribution of Compensation Pool: Classified

## Classified: \$2.2M / 19\% of Total Compensation Pool

- Group contains a wide variety of positions (EAs, bookkeepers, bus drivers, skilled trades, etc) and pay ranges (\$12.32-\$45.10).
- Position count includes employees who hold multiple positions.

| Classified Staff |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Placement | $\%$ of <br> Total | Position <br> Count | Total \$* | Average <br> Rate |
| Below Min | $10 \%$ | 221 | $\$ 285 \mathrm{k} *$ | $\$ 17.14$ |
| In Range | $84 \%$ | 1,857 | $\$ 1.7 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 19.28$ |
| Above Max | $6 \%$ | 125 | $\$ 192 \mathrm{k}$ | $\$ 27.18$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 0 3}$ | $\$ 2.2 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 19.52$ |

* Does not include an additional adjustment to reduce entry level compression


## Distribution of Compensation Pool: Admin, Pro-Tech, Other

## Admin, Pro-Tech, Other: \$1.4M / 12\% of Total Compensation Pool

- Group contains a wide variety of positions (Principals, APs, accountants, network technicians, coordinators, Superintendent, etc.) and pay ranges ( $\$ 38,289-\$ 300,000$ ).
- Position count includes employees who hold multiple positions.

| Admin, Pro-Tech, Other |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Placement | $\%$ of <br> Total | Position <br> Count | Total \$ | Average <br> Rate |
| Below Min | $3 \%$ | 15 | $\$ 75 k^{*}$ | $\$ 60,310$ |
| In Range | $78 \%$ | 337 | $\$ 1.05 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 87,298$ |
| Above Max | $18 \%$ | 79 | $\$ 287 \mathrm{k}$ | $\$ 101,572$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 1}$ | $\$ 1.4 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 88,976$ |

* Does not include an additional adjustment to reduce entry level compression


## Distribution of Compensation Pool: Licensed Staff

- In addition to COLA-based adjustments, salary ranges for licensed staff (General, Hard to Hire, Specialists) were evaluated independently to:
- make additional progress toward year 1 market comparability
- ensure a more accurate starting salary for future licensed salary schedule work

| Group | Min (Prev/New)* | Max (Prev/New)* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General | $\$ 39,000 / \$ 42,120$ | $\$ 76,000 / \$ 82,080$ |
| Hard to Hire | $\$ 43,000 / \$ 46,440$ | $\$ 86,000 / \$ 92,880$ |
| Specialist | $\$ 48,000 / \$ 51,840$ | $\$ 94,000 / \$ 101,520$ |

* 8\% Increase to salary range (mid - mid - max)

2r Leam Today, Leald Tonomow

## Distribution of Compensation Pool: Licensed Staff

Total Cost: \$8.0M / 69\% of Total Compensation Pool

|  | Below Min |  |  | In Range |  |  | Above Max |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | \% | Position Count* | Total \$ | \% | Position Count* | Total \$ | \% | Position Count* | Total \$ |
| General | 6\% | 128 | \$411k** | 83\% | 1,875 | \$3.6M | 11\% | 252 | \$774k |
| Hard to Hire | 6\% | 61 | \$198k* | 90\% | 843 | \$1.8M | 4\% | 36 | \$125k |
| Specialist | 3\% | 15 | \$56k** | 96\% | 470 | \$1.0M | 1\% | 5 | \$19k |

* Includes employees who hold multiple positions
** Does not include an additional adjustment to reduce entry level compression


## Sample Compensation Adjustment Scenarios

|  | Ex 1: 2nd Grade teacher Below Minimum | Ex 2: 2nd Grade teacher In Range | Ex 3: 2nd Grade teacher Above Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019-20 Salary (185 Day Calendar) | \$39,000 | \$50,000 | \$85,000 |
| 2020-21 Salary (183 Day Calendar) | \$38,578.38 | \$49,459.46 | \$84,081.08 |
| New Salary Range (2021-22) | \$42,120-\$82,080 | \$42,120-\$82,080 | \$42,120-\$82,080 |
| 2020-21 Range Placement | Below Minimum | In Range | Above Maximum |
| 2021-22 Adjustments |  |  |  |
| (a) Base Pay Adjustment to Full Calendar | \$421.62 | \$540.54 | \$918.92 |
| Calendar Adjusted Base Pay | \$39,000 | \$50,000 | \$85,000 |
| (b) Pay Increase (3\%) | \$1,170 | \$1,500 | \$0 |
| (c) Additional Increase to Get to Minimum * | \$1,950 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Adjusted Base Pay | \$42,120 | \$51,500 | \$85,000 |
| (d) 2021-22 3\% Lump Sum Payout (If Above Max) <br> Lump sum payouts are PERA includable and increase HAS | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,550 |
| Total Compensation Adjustments 20-21 to 21-22 (a + b + c + d) | \$3,542 | \$2,041 | \$3,469 |
| \% Change 20-21 to 21-22 | 9.18\% | 4.13\% | 4.13\% |
| Calendar Related Increase | 1.09\% | 1.09\% | 1.09\% |
| Base Pay Increase (Excluding Calendar Adjustment) | 8.09\% | 3.00\% | 0.00\% |
| One Time Adjustments | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.00\% |

* Does not include an additional adjustment to reduce entry level compression


## Ongoing Compensation Work

These recommendations are first steps in the development of consistent and predictable compensation plans for all staff. Throughout 2021-22 several parallel work streams will be taking place to implement additional changes for 2022-23.

- Development of a compensation philosophy for DCSD with associated goals (see next slide)
- In depth job description reviews, market analysis with benchmarking and target setting, and compression analysis for all employee groups
- Review compensation ranges for all pay grades to ensure they accurately reflect current market rates (compounding adjustments for COLA not currently reflected)
- Development of licensed compensation system comparable to neighboring districts
- Evaluate feasibility of early retirement / separation options for June 30, 2022 effective date
- Determine employees now at or near the max of the salary range, including capping those above maximum range
- Develop and model compensation schedules with revised pay ranges for all employee groups
- Analyze short and long term costs of implementation against forecasted revenue to ensure fiscal sustainability
- Cyclical review of market metro area comparison along with allocated budget to support adjustments
- Stakeholder feedback opportunities
- Policy development


## Compensation Philosophy

## Factors to review in determining and developing a compensation philosophy

- Overall position in metro area market
- Identification of employees in all job categories who are below, at or above the range on their applicable schedule
- Recognition of key factors: experience, certifications, endorsements, education levels, professional development, performance and longevity
- Addressing the need to recruit and retain hard to hire staff and specialists
- Starting pay
- Addressing employees at or above the maximum salary range
- How to address additional responsibilities and leadership roles (additional pay)


## Considerations \& Implications

## Positives

- Significant progress for all employee groups with the compensation project
- Strategic Plan Themes 3 \& 6
- Recognition of Board of Education Compensation Resolutions
- Recruitment and retention of employees
- Development of a compensation philosophy for consistency and predictability


## Implications

- Budgetary commitment and sustainability concerns along with impact to other budget priorities
- Treatment of employees at the maximum level of the range


## Individual Employee Notifications

- Individuals whose salary will be capped will be notified individually via a communication
- Compensation Statements and Licensed contracts for review and employee acceptance in Workday (approximately June 7)


## Questions and Discussion
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